IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

8:20CV442	Plaintiff,	
ORDER		v.

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,

KATIE GUTHERLESS,

Defendant.

Having reviewed plaintiff's objections (Filing Nos. 114 and 128), to defendant's Designations and Counter Designations to the Deposition of Neil Sponsel, the Court rules as follows:

PAGE/LINE START	PAGE/LINE END	OBJECTIONS	RULING
11	1	Relevance	Sustained
20:11	24:25	23:2-24:25 Relevance	Overruled
90:19	91:15	91:7-15 Relevance	Overruled
91:23 starting w/ "So"	93:8	91:23-92:15 Relevance	Overruled
113:23	114:8	114:4-8 Foundation	Overruled
135:13	136:5	136:1-5 Foundation	Sustained
136:15	141:3	138:15-139:2 Relevance,	Sustained
		Foundation	
		139:17-25 Foundation,	Overruled
		Relevance	
		140:1-13 Assumption of the	Overruled
		Risk	
		140-17-19 701 improper	Sustained
		opinion	
		140:25-141:3 foundation,	Sustained
		relevance	
142:4	142:21	142:4-9 701 Improper opinion,	Sustained
		Foundation	
144:1	145:8	144:4 Improper testimony from	Sustained
		Attn.	
		145:6-8 Assumption of the	Overruled
		Risk	

148:7	149:11	148:7-11 assumes facts not in	Overruled
		evidence, improper	
		hypothetical, foundation,	
		relevance	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 6th day of January 2022.

BY THE COURT:

Robert F. Rossiter, Jr.

Chief United States District Judge